

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,929	09/09/2003	Kevin Lym	SONY-26100	3117	
7550 07/06/2009 Jonathan O. Owens HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			EXAMINER		
			MENDOZA, JUNIOR O		
162 North Wo Sunnyvale, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2423		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/658,929	LYM, KEVIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JUNIOR O. MENDOZA	2423	

	JONION O. MENDOZI	4 2423	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shee or Reply	et with the correspondence	address
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ChitVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI misons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1136(a). In no event, however, me constructions of the control of the committee of the co	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 April 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal r closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935		the merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement		
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objectet Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in ab Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the draw The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the atta-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a wing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
.—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. All b) □ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	in Application No	nal Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		- 0
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies	not received.	
Attachmen	t(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Art Unit: 2423

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 04/29/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although a new ground of rejection has been provided, a response is considered necessary for some of applicant's arguments since the Huang reference will continue to be used to meet several claimed limitations.

Regarding claims 6, 17 and 22, applicant argues that Huang does not teach a "routing table".

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. Huang discloses a system for sorting and organizing content based on the content type, where the content is stored locally in different memory folders or memory clusters by automatically creating folders based on the media file type, such as audio, image or video, abstract and paragraph [0016]. Huang further recites that the content is automatically distributed to different destination paths within memory; i.e. folders, depending on the determined file type, see paragraph [0021] also exhibited on figure 3. The applicant argues that the routing table is an electronic table stored in a router used for physical transmission of data from one point to another, where the routing table stores the routes to particular network destinations; however, these features are not currently being claimed, hence the

Art Unit: 2423

examiner is taking the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term "routing table" as a table which may distribute content within memory to different memory partitions, i.e. folders. Therefore, Huang clearly discloses a "routing table".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 5, 6 – 13, 16 – 23, 26 – 30, 41, 43, 45 and 52 – 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (Pub No US 2004/0098379) in view of Balog et al. (Pub No US 2002/0022453). Hereinafter referenced as Huang and Balog, respectively.

Regarding **claim 1**, Huang discloses an apparatus for automatically routing digital information (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

an interface coupled to receive downloaded digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

a storage device coupled to the interface to store the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

Art Unit: 2423

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically sort the digital information based on the type to one or more memory locations(Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose selectively transmitting the digital information based on the type to one or more secondary devices.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses selectively transmitting the digital information based on the type to one or more secondary devices (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claim 5, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; moreover, Huang discloses that the digital information comprises media content including music, videos, and data (Paragraph [0016]).

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 6, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; moreover, Huang disclose that the controller utilizes a routing table to route the digital information (Paragraph [0021] also exhibited on fig 3; content is automatically distributed to different destination paths within memory; i.e. folders, depending on the file type). Furthermore, Balog also discloses a routing table to route digital information (Paragraphs [0031] [0034]; user may define a list of preferred devices and create a mapping of the type of content that should be routed to each devices).

Regarding claim 7, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 6; moreover, Huang disclose that the routing table further comprises a file type column and a memory location column (Paragraph [0021] also exhibited on fig 3, the location, i.e. folder, of each data type depends and corresponds to the data type). Furthermore, Balog also discloses that the routing table comprises a file type column and a location column (Paragraphs [0031] [0034]; user may define a list of preferred devices and create a mapping of the type of content that should be routed to each devices).

Regarding claim 8, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 6; moreover, Huang disclose that the routing table utilizes meta data information within the digital information to route the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0020] and [0021] also exhibited on fig 3).

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 9, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 6; moreover, Huang disclose that the routing table is user-defined (Paragraphs [0025] and [0026]). Furthermore, Balog also discloses that the routing table may be user defined (Paragraphs [0031] [0034]; user may define a list of preferred devices and create a mapping of the type of content that should be routed to each devices).

Regarding claim 10, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose that the controller automatically detects one or more secondary devices.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses that a controller automatically detects one or more secondary devices (Paragraphs [0036] [0038] figure 5; detecting devices connected to network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing the elements mentioned above, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the external device to be able to work on any computer that supports it without the need to manually install any software which would allow it to work; moreover, this characteristic allows the device to be hot swappable.

Regarding **claim 11**, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose that the secondary devices include one or more of an mp3 player, a video recorder, and a handheld.

Art Unit: 2423

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses that secondary devices include one or more of an mp3 player, a video recorder, and a handheld (Paragraph [0022] figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of supporting different types of content to be processed by the same device, which would motivate the user to buy a device capable of multitasking, sorting and distributing different types of data implementing the same device

Regarding **claim 12**, Huang discloses an apparatus for automatically routing digital information from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

an interface coupled to receive downloaded digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

storage device coupled to the interface to store the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically determine which type of digital information is routed to which memory location (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4):

Art Unit: 2423

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically distribute the digital information to the one or more memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claims 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21; therefore, claims 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11, respectively.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 22, Huang discloses an apparatus for automatically routing digital media content from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

an interface coupled to receive downloaded digital media content having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

a storage device coupled to the interface to store the digital media content (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically determine which type of media content is routed to which memory location utilizing a routing table (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically distribute the digital media content to the one or more memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose a controller to automatically detect the one or more secondary devices; and selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses a controller to automatically detect the one or more secondary devices (Paragraphs [0036] [0038] figure 5; detecting devices connected to network);

selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file

Art Unit: 2423

type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claims 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30; therefore, claims 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 2, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 11, respectively.

Regarding **claim 41**, Huang discloses a method for routing digital information from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

receiving the digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);
automatically sorting the digital information based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

and automatically distributing the digital information to a corresponding one or more of the memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] fig 3).

Art Unit: 2423

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claim 43, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 43; therefore, claim 43 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 10.

Regarding claim 45, Huang discloses a method for routing digital information from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

receiving the digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

Art Unit: 2423

automatically sorting the digital information based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

automatically distributing the digital information to a corresponding one or more of the memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] fig 3).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claim 52, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 52; therefore, claim 52 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 1 and 5.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 53, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 53; therefore, claim 53 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 41 and 5.

Regarding claim 54, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 54; therefore, claim 54 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 12 and 16.

4. Claims 3, 4, 14, 15, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Mercer et al (Patent No US 7,043,477). Hereinafter referenced as Mercer.

Regarding claim 3, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose that the storage device is a hard disk drive.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the storage device is a hard disk drive (A computer includes a hard disk drive [154] for storage, column 17 lines 48-64 also exhibited on figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing non-volatile storage that will store content.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 4, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose that the storage device is a semiconductor memory.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the storage device is a semiconductor memory (A computer includes a system memory [134] which consist of ROM [138] and RAM [140], column 17 lines 34-47 figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing volatile storage that will momentarily store or buffer data in order to allow a computer system to process information efficiently.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Huang, Balog and Mercer disclose all the limitations of claims 14 and 15; therefore, claims 14 and 15 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Regarding claims 25 and 25, Huang, Balog and Mercer disclose all the limitations of claims 24 and 25; therefore, claims 24 and 25 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Art Unit: 2423

5. Claims 2, 31 – 34, 37, 40, 42, 46 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Malek et al (Patent No US 6,253,207). Hereinafter referenced as Malek.

Regarding **claim 2**, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose that the digital information is downloaded from a server to the storage device.

In a similar field of endeavor Malek discloses that the digital information is downloaded from a server to the storage device (Server [120] may be embodied as a file server, a music server or a video server, column 4 lines 46-51 figures 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Malek, for the purpose of providing an external source of information which has the potential to provide enormous amounts of data which can be requested by the user at any time.

Regarding claim 31, Huang discloses a network of devices for automatically routing digital information (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

a computing device for obtaining and routing the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0018] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4);

one or more memory locations for receiving the digital information from the computing device (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4).

Art Unit: 2423

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose transmitting the digital information based on the type and one or more secondary devices receiving the digital information.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses transmitting the digital information based on the type and one or more secondary devices receiving the digital information (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

However it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose a computing device coupled to the server, the server including digital information.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Malek discloses a computing device coupled to the server, the server including digital information (Server [120] may be embodied as a file server, a music server or a video server, where the multimedia traffic handler [400] routes data; column 4 lines 46-51 also exhibited on figures 1, 3 and 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such

Art Unit: 2423

element, as taught by Malek, for the purpose of providing an external source of information which has the capabilities of transmitting vast amounts of data to different users.

Regarding claims 32, 33, 34 and 40, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 32, 33, 34 and 40; therefore, claims 32, 33, 34 and 40 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 5, 1, 10 and 11, respectively.

Regarding claim 37, Huang, Balog and Malek disclose the network of devices as claimed in claim 31; moreover, Huang discloses that the computing device is a personal computer (Paragraphs [0016] [0029]).

Regarding claims 42 and 46, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 42 and 46; therefore, claims 42 and 46 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 2.

Regarding claim 51, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 51; therefore, claim 51 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 44.

Art Unit: 2423

 Claims 35, 36, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Malek and further in view of Mercer.

Regarding claims 35 and 36, Huang, Balog and Mercer disclose all the limitations of claims 35 and 36; therefore, claims 35 and 36 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Regarding claim 38, Huang, Balog and Malek disclose the network of devices as claimed in claim 31; however, it is noted that Huang, Balog and Malek fail to explicitly disclose that the computing device is a set-top box.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the computing device is a set-top box (Computer [130] can also be a set top box, column 19 lines 10-28 also exhibited on figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang, Balog and Malek by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing more advertisement flexibility from a sales point of view, in other words, using a set top box as a data sorter would allow more marketability due to the additional functions that such device could be able to process.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 39, Huang, Balog and Malek disclose the network of devices as claimed in claim 31; however, it is noted that Huang, Balog and Malek fail to explicitly disclose that the computer device further comprises a modern device for coupling to the server.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the computer device further comprises a modern device for coupling to the server (Computer [130] includes a modern [178] for establishing communication over a network, column 18 lines 40-55 also exhibited on figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang, Balog and Malek by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing a way to communicate to different remote server over long distances at reasonable speeds, which allows a user to transmit and receive data as needed.

 Claims 44 and 47 – 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Robbin et al (Pub No US 2003/0167318). Hereinafter, referenced as Robbin.

Regarding claim 44, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 41; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose storing the

Art Unit: 2423

digital information in the computing device until the corresponding one or more of the secondary devices is coupled to the computing device.

Nevertheless, in a similar field on endeavor Robbin discloses storing the digital information in the computing device until the corresponding one or more of the secondary devices is coupled to the computing device (Paragraph [0033] fig 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Robbin, for the purpose of automatically updating and transferring the new content, which allows the device to self update every time it gets connected to a computer, saving a lot of time to the user.

Regarding claims 47, 48, 49 and 50, Huang, Balog and Robbin disclose all the limitations of claims 47, 48, 49 and 50; therefore, claims 47, 48, 49 and 50 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 44.

Page 21

Application/Control Number: 10/658,929

Art Unit: 2423

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNIOR O. MENDOZA whose telephone number is (571)270-3573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Koenig can be reached on (571)272-7296. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2423

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Junior O Mendoza Examiner Art Unit 2423

/J. O. M./ June 26, 2009

/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423